App. F. IX-4

1926-27

File No. 14-26

Previous References (Miscl.)

Serial Nos. 1-2

Later References

Misc 284-334-27

Misc Dept 25-41

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Serial Nos. 2

Part B for August 1926.

SUBJECT

Submits further report re the management of the Sri Anjaneya Swami temple, Fort Bangalore.

~~Dr 298 date 5~~ ~~To the Dy. Comr.~~

~~14.8.26~~

No further action needed

Called for — filed

8.10

R. S. 11] 1926

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Inwz.)

NOTES.

File No. 14/1926

FILE HEADING.

Affairs of the temple of Sri Anjaneya Swamy at Fort, Bangalore city

From

The Inwz. Comr.

No. C 1. Dated 14. 7. 26. Received

Diary No.	Enclos.	Spare Copies.	Serial No.
63	2	-	1

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Submits further report called for in this office Comm no. 908 Dy 25. 1. 1926, about the assumption of the management of the said institution under Sec. 9 of the Inwz. Regn.

NOTES AND ORDERS.

I

Inwz 7. no 269-24 in the subject is submitted. In this office Letter no. 908 Dy 25-1-1926, the Inwz Comr was requested to see that the said temple has taken under its management u/s 9 of the Inwz Reg

NOTES—First Sheet.

WD 01264—GPB—40,000—17-12-25
App. F. III—2]

Revenue Secretariat | File No. 14 of 1926

Serial No.

effective arrangements being made for its supervision by the appointment of suitable committee of Dharmadarsis immediately after the expiry of the period of 6 months prescribed in sec. 12 of the mus. Regn. The M.C. has submitted further report in the matter.

R 2 It is reported that the management of the temple was assumed on 28-5-1926, and 5 gentlemen have been appointed as Dharmadarsis to supervise its affairs. A list of properties of the temple has been received.

Q

3 The M.C. is awaiting the D.C's further report regarding the scale of income and expdr. of the temple, and adds that as the institn has no other source of income except "Dabbi" collections, the Dy. Comrs. proposal to deposit the amount in post Savings Bank deserves consideration.

Submitted for orders.

4 By 23.7.26. The temple has been taken under Govt. management and a set of Dharmadarsis consisting of influential gentlemen has been appointed to help in its management. The only point requiring

R

Contn. Sheet

1264—GPB—40,000—17-12-25
App. F. III—2]

Revenue | Finance — File No. 14 of 1926

Serial No.

Now is the Mag Con's recommendation that the Dabbi collections may be deposited in Savings Bank & that the amt may earn interest. This may be approved.

5 As for the scale of income & expenditure, the matter is a serious one and the scales which may be obtd from the Dharmadhikaris Mongh the D.C. may be dealt with by the Mag Con's & orders issued thereon.

6 A reply to this effect may be sent to the Mag Con.

7 The proposal to deposit the "Dabbi" collections in Govt Savings Bank may be approved, as recommended by the M.C.

22.7.26

8 Re the scale of income & expenditure of the temple: the D.C's further report is awaited by the M.C. The M.C may be asked to obtain it soon & pass orders thereon.

Rev. Secy)

9 (2nd member)

In whose name will the [illegible] Acct stand and who would draw money for expenditure?

GPR 28.7.26

OFFICE FILE
CLERK IN COUNCIL
29 JULY 1926
No 678

NOTE—Contn Sheet

WD 01264—GPB—40,000 -17-12-25

General and Revenue Secretariat | Mug. File No 14 of 1926

Serial No

Submitted
9.8.26 9.8.26

2 To, Mun Commr
No 298 Dt. 14.8.26.
Enquiries in whose name the S.B. acct stand & who is drawn money for & why

Petition no. 93 from Mr. Shama Rao about Sri Rajarajeya Swamy temple in question 1-8 Submitted.

Member's minutes on the petition:— "What is this about? I cannot make out. Are there any previous references on this subject."

The files connected with Mr. Shama Rao's case, are submitted. It is not possible to make out what the petitioner wants. He seems to complain of the alleged misappropriation of a sum of Rs 16,000/- belonging to the temple in question.

Some previous petitions presented by the said individual were dealt with in Mug. 267-A

NOTE.—Contn. Sheet

WD 01264—GPB—40,000 -17-12-25

Jeneral and Revenue Secretariat

File No 14 of 1926

Serial No

On the matter of the alleged misappropriation, the Inspr. General has reported that no misappropriation of any particular sum of money was disclosed during the enquiry, and that it was not possible to take any action in the matter (vide his letter dt. 28-12-1925). Besides it is reported that Mr Swamy Rao is a man not entirely in his senses.

The petition may be filed now. Orders solicited

Submitted for orders.

3/9/26. 4/4/26 Rev. Secy

Reference is invited to the 2nd member's minute on the Petition (P.D.) The allegations of the Petr is that some property belonging to his family has been misappropriated. The whole question was examined by the District Inspector of Police, the late Mr Venkoba Rao, and he found that there was gross mismanagement and recommended the appointment of Dharmakartas which has since been done. File below 269-26 gives all particulars.

The specific allegations of the Petr were not proved and no action was taken. The Petn. are all so rambling and incoherent that it is doubtful if the Petr is perfectly sound in mind and further action seems called for.

8/26

OFFICE OF THE SECOND MEMBER OF COUNCIL. SEP 1926 No 1935

Subs.

NOTE.—Contn. Sheet

No. C/D 26.27 and two

Office of the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

Dated Bangalore, 13th July 1926 14

GEN. & REV. SECRETARIAT. 15 JUL 1926 MUZRAI

From

GEN. & REV. SECTT. PR 14. JUL. 1926 G. D. SECTION.

C. S. Balasundaram Iyer, Esq., B.A.,
Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore,
Bangalore.

2263

Muz. 14-26-1

C. Ranganatha Rao Saheb, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Revenue Department.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No.908/Muz.269-24-14 dated 25th January 1926 requesting further information in re the ---- affairs of the temple of Sri Anjaneyaswamy at Fort, Bangalore -- City, I have the honor to submit herewith copy of letter No.C.3 494-26 dated 30th June 1926 from the Deputy Commissioner ------- Bangalore District submitting a list of properties of the temple reporting the assumption of the management of the institution on 28th May 1926 and the appointment of five gentlemen as Dharmadarsis to manage its affairs.

The Deputy Commissioner's further report regarding the -- scale of income and expenditure for the temple is awaited.

In view of the fact that the institution has no other --- source of income except Dabbi collections the Deputy Commissioner's proposal to deposit the amount thus collected in Government ----- Savings Bank deserves consideration.

A copy of the list of properties is enclosed herein.

I have the honor to
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

C S Balasundaram Iyer

Muzrai Commissioner

Letter No.C.3-494-26 dated 30th June 1926 from the Deputy Commissioner Bangalore District to the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

"With reference to your letter No.C.1221/24-25 dated 3rd ----- ebruary 1926 forwarding for report copy of Revenue Secretary's ----- etter No.908/Muz.269-24-14 dated 25th January 1926 in the matter -- f the management of Sri Anjaneyaswamy temple, at Fort, [illegible] angalore City, I have the honor to state that the institution has een taken over under Government management on the 28th May 1926 and

. Rajasabha Thushana Mr. Karpur Srinivasa Rao, Retired Chief Engineer of Mysore (convenor)	)	the marginally noted gentlemen have been appointed --- Dharmadarsis for the management of the said temple.
. Mr.A. Madhaviengar, Land Holder.	)	
. " P. Shankariah Chetty, Merchant.	)	
. " Mr.C. Jaya Rao, Retired Amildar.	)	
. " Lakshminarasappa, Retired Sub-Registrar.	)	

2. It is reported that the annual income of the Institution is stimated at Rs.90/- consisting of Dabbi collections which is the nly source of income at present and that a sum of Rs.42-9-8 was found n the Dabbi on the day on which the Institution was taken over and redited under "Revenue Deposits" in the Bangalore Taluk Treasury. he question of making a suitable cash grant or granting an Inam -- and for Padithara and Deeparadhana is being considered and proposals ill be submitted separately. In the meanwhile I request that --- anction may be accorded to deposit the "Dabbi Collections" in the avings Bank, so that the amount may earn some interest.

A copy of list of properties belonging to the temple is --- nclosed herein for favour of perusal."

"C o p y"

13/7/26

for Muzrai Commissioner.

AR

Muz 4]

SUBJECT..... .....

.. ..

... .

Diary No. of letter replied to _____

Muzrai Secretariat

( )

, *the* *192*

Section Muz.

File No. 14. '26.

Serial No. 2

No. 293

Date of issue 16-8-'26

Signed by Mr. Narasimhan

Mr. Asst.

To

The Muz Comr

Sir,

Adverting to correspondence ending with your letter no C 1 Dy 14-7-26 recommending sanction among other things to the Dy Comrs. proposal to deposit the Drabbi collections of Sri Anjaneya Swamy temple at Yelahanka Bangalore, in over the

avings Bank, I am
irected to ~~request to be informed~~ enquire
e ~~furnished with information~~
n the points noted below:
(1) As in whose name
~~books~~ the S. B. Account ~~is~~ would
stand, and who would draw money
~~(2) who holds~~
for expenditure.

(2) We favour a very
early reply is requested

I have etc

(A.) Post Master.

List of enclosures.

Copied by

Examined by

M.E. & N.W. SECRETARIAT.
SEP 1926
MUZRAT

D 85/24-9-26

1 II 1st Session

Although verbal — Commissioner was minded to proceed on my official memorandum dated 23/12/25 the 1st counter petition issued warrant to the petitioner because I wired to his Highness on 6-3-1925 for his legal advice — 12. 6. 1925. for his petition based affidavits for me II Time on 28 8 1926 signed by me — By special Magistrate against 1 I II Counter petitioners - III IV V

II Yet some honour not satisfied for them

Ein [illegible] His Highness
Maharaja order
No. 55 The Revenue
Dept. No. 25-
Secretariat Mysore
Dt. [illegible] Bangalore dated 23rd Nov
[illegible] Aug Asstn.

Your honour may
kindly push off
I beg you to accord
sanction to proceed
the Council fee rules
As I already applied
2-0-0 Rupees on 23.11.
1925-
in my Memorial dated
Nov. 1925 on there no
Vacancy place so in to contract
pass buying a Vehicle and
subsequently allowed to proceed the
Expenses [illegible] Hon'ble Asst.

Rao
M 9
Bahadur

Memorial to Council
Has Highness the
Maharajah of
Ie Bare


CHAMA
SEP 26
2 50





REV. & REV. SECRET...
JUL 1920
MUZRAI

2 p. 7
D. 93 1926

In the High Court of Judicature
Bangalore

Petitioner

Government Order
In the Court of the
Assistant Magistrate
Honnavar Sir Bangalore

In obedience to your
Court endorsement — V 627
dated 20 7 received this day
26 from Muzrai.
Court alone have powers
on behalf of the accused. —
when (17610 Rupees for breach of Trust
when authenticated documents
have produced on 3.11.25
Muzrai Court refused. to
ascertain the same have been
matter to the High notice of
the Honorable Sahib on 17. 11
25.
Temple Revenue Secretary.
in official Capacity
Honnavar
Can you order the proposals
based on 28 11
25
Please send
your Court order sub of the

of which the respdt Commissioner
mentioned in para III
of the proceedings —— 28. 11. 25.

Court Can please
order passed in
column II of the respdt
28. 11. 25
Commissioner when Jawan Mughal
Court alone expressed interpreter
when evidence of witness
recorded —— to present. Your Mughal
Court need not Special means Shenaya Bahu
my Court, alone satisfied the Commr
witness of both sides, You
dont want to multiply the
witness Your Court
proceedings of 28. 11. 25 & 30. 1. 25
alone Contradict of your
own opinion. If the
Criminal Court higher
authorities to please when
your own Court Can give decision
for Breach of Contract when You
for prosecution have already permitted in

for Court
for Breach of Court
for Prosecution
permitted in
hand